

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/739,428	12/18/2000	Philip P.M. Finch	2537	3665
75	90 06/01/2004		EXAM	INER
United States Surgical, a Division of Tyco			ROANE, AARON F	
Healthcare Group, LP 150 Glover Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Norwalk, CT 06856			3739	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/739,428	FINCH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Aaron Roane	3739			
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 D	<u> ecember 2000</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
5)□ 6)□ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat brity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachme	nt(s)	_				
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/739,428 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a treatment apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 41.

II. Claims 20-36, drawn to method of treatment, classified in class 606, subclass 32.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the treatment apparatus can be used to treat any part of the body other than intervertebral discs.

Upon election of I, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species #1 characterized by a resistive heating element, Species #2 characterized by a radio-frequency electrode, Species #3 characterized by a microwave antenna, Species #4 characterized by a laser transmitting member.

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Kim Perry (via Vanessa Rosado) on 5/26/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/739,428

Art Unit: 3739

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Aaron Roane whose telephone number is (703) 305-7377. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5pm, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.R. A LY

May 26, 2004

MICHAEL PEFFLEY